

EXHIBIT 31

1 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
2 DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)
3 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)
4 HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)
5 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)
6 BREE HANN (SBN 215695)
7 Three Embarcadero Center
8 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
9 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
10 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
11 donn.pickett@bingham.com
12 geoff.howard@bingham.com
13 holly.house@bingham.com
14 zachary.alinder@bingham.com
15 bree.hann@bingham.com
16 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
17 DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
18 333 Main Street
19 Armonk, NY 10504
20 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
21 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300
22 dboies@bsfllp.com
23 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)
24 FRED NORTON (SBN 224725)
25 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900
26 Oakland, CA 94612
27 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
28 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
sholtzman@bsfllp.com
fnorton@bsfllp.com
DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)
JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)
500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
Redwood City, CA 94070
Telephone: (650) 506-4846
Facsimile: (650) 506-7114
dorian.daley@oracle.com
jennifer.gloss@oracle.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Oracle USA, Inc., *et al.*

Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)
Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)
Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)
JONES DAY
555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 626-3939
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
jmcdonell@jonesday.com
ewallace@jonesday.com

Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)
Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)
JONES DAY
1755 Embarcadero Road
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 739-3939
Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
tglasier@jonesday.com
jfroyd@jonesday.com

Scott W. Cowan (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
JONES DAY
717 Texas, Suite 3300
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (832) 239-3939
Facsimile: (832) 239-3600
swcowan@jonesday.com
jluchus@jonesday.com

Attorneys for Defendants
SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and
TOMORROWNOW, INC.

22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
24 ORACLE USA, INC., *et al.*,
25 Plaintiffs,
26 v.
27 SAP AG, *et al.*,
28 Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)
**JOINT PROPOSED FORM OF
JUDGMENT**

JOINT PROPOSED FORM OF JUDGMENT

2 Pursuant to the November 23, 2010 jury verdict (Dkt. No. 1004) and the Court's
3 December 28, 2010 Order (Dkt. No. 1030), Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
4 Corporation and Siebel Systems, Inc. and Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and
5 TomorrowNow, Inc. hereby submit the joint proposed form of judgment, attached as Exhibit A.

6

7 Respectfully submitted,

8

9 Dated: February 2, 2011

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

10

By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard

Geoffrey M. Howard

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
12 Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.

13

14 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that concurrence in
15 the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.

16

17 Dated: February 2, 2011

JONES DAY

18

By: /s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier

Tharan Gregory Lanier

Attorneys for Defendants

19 SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and
20 TomorrowNow, Inc.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EXHIBIT A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

10 ORACLE USA, INC., *et al.*,

Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

Plaintiffs,

V.

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

SAP AG, et al.,

Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Defendants

15 Trial commenced in this matter on November 1, 2010. Pursuant to the jury's verdict on
16 November 23, 2010 (Dkt. No. 1004), Amended Trial Stipulation and Order No. 1 Regarding
17 Liability, Dismissal of Claims, Preservation of Defenses, and Objections to Evidence at Trial
18 (Dkt. No. 965), Additional Trial Stipulation and Order Regarding Claims for Damages and
19 Attorneys Fees (Dkt. Nos. 961 and 969), Order Re Motions For Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt.
20 No. 762), and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss in Part and Denying It in Part (Dkt. No. 224),
21 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND ORDERED that:

(1) JUDGMENT is entered against D

23 Oracle International Corporation’s claim for direct copyright infringement
24 and against Defendants SAP AG and SAP America, Inc. on Plaintiff Oracle
25 International Corporation’s claim for indirect copyright infringement, for
26 which Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation shall recover from
27 Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and TomorrowNow, Inc.
28 (“Defendants”), jointly and severally, (a) the amount of \$1.3 billion

1 (\$1,300,000,000); and, (b) prejudgment interest through February 2, 2011 in
 2 the amount of \$14,751,797, which is the entirety of the relief entered for these
 3 claims (not including the stipulation negotiated between the Parties regarding
 4 destruction of infringing materials).

5 (2) JUDGMENT is entered against Defendants on Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
 6 Oracle International Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.’s (collectively,
 7 “Oracle” or “Plaintiffs”) claims for past and future reasonable attorneys fees
 8 and costs (including investigative costs) associated with Oracle’s
 9 investigation and prosecution of its claims in this case, for which the Parties
 10 agreed that Oracle should recover, and has already been paid by Defendants,
 11 the amount of \$120 million (\$120,000,000).

12 (3) JUDGMENT is entered against Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. on all liability
 13 for all claims, including for violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4),
 14 (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse
 15 Act) and California Penal Code §§ 502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7)
 16 (California’s Computer Data Access and Fraud Act), breach of contract,
 17 intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent
 18 interference with prospective economic advantage, unfair competition,
 19 trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting,
 20 without separate monetary damages or monetary relief, including punitive
 21 damages, or additional injunctive relief by way of these claims.

22 (4) JUDGMENT of dismissal with prejudice is entered as previously stipulated
 23 by the Parties, on Oracle’s remaining claims for violations of 18 U.S.C.
 24 §§ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the Federal
 25 Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§ 502(c)(2),
 26 (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7) (California’s Computer Data Access and Fraud Act),
 27 breach of contract, intentional interference with prospective economic
 28 advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic advantage,

1 unfair competition, trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution, and for
2 an accounting.

3 (5) JUDGMENT of dismissal is entered, as previously ordered by the Court, on
4 all claims brought by Oracle Systems Corporation, J.D. Edwards Europe and
5 Oracle EMEA Limited.

6

7 DATED: February 3, 2011

8

By: _____

9



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28